

TONBRIDGE & MALLING BOROUGH COUNCIL

COUNCIL

23 February 2012

Supplementary Report of Central Services Director

Part 1- Public

Matters For Decision

1 APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE BODIES

To consider nomination of a member to the Shadow Police and Crime Panel.

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 Since publication of the agenda, a letter has been sent to Kent Local Authority Leaders by the County Council inviting nomination of one member to sit on the Shadow Police and Crime Panel (PCP) in time for its first meeting in March. Home Office guidance on establishing Police and Crime Panels is still awaited but Police Areas have been asked to set up Shadow Panels to formulate arrangements and rules of procedure.

1.1.2 It is intended to report more fully on the role of the Panel in due course and in the meantime, the Leader has suggested that it would be appropriate to nominate the Cabinet Member for Community Safety (Councillor M Rhodes) as the Council's representative on the Shadow PCP.

1.2 Legal Implications

1.2.1 None.

1.3 Financial and Value for Money Considerations

1.3.1 Not applicable.

1.4 Risk Assessment

1.4.1 Not applicable.

1.5 Equality Impact Assessment

1.5.1 See 'Screening for equality impacts' table at end of report.

1.6 Recommendations

1.6.1 RECOMMENDED that consideration be given to the nomination of a representative to the Shadow Police and Crime Panel.

Background papers:

contact: Claire Fox

Letter dated 15 February 2012 from Kent County Council

Julie Beilby
Central Services Director

Screening for equality impacts:		
Question	Answer	Explanation of impacts
a. Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper have potential to cause adverse impact or discriminate against different groups in the community?	No	The decision will not adversely impact any groups.
b. Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper make a positive contribution to promoting equality?	N/A	
c. What steps are you taking to mitigate, reduce, avoid or minimise the impacts identified above?		

In submitting this report, the Chief Officer doing so is confirming that they have given due regard to the equality impacts of the decision being considered, as noted in the table above.